

**THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA**

Monday, January 25, 1999

7:00 P.M. Regular Session

MINUTES

Place: Commissioners' Room, second floor, Durham County Government Administrative Complex, 200 E. Main Street, Durham, NC

Present: Chairman MaryAnn E. Black, Vice-Chairman Ellen W. Reckhow, and Commissioners William V. Bell, Joe W. Bowser, and Becky M. Heron

Absent: None

Presider: Chairman Black

Opening of Regular Session

Chairman Black called the Regular Session to order with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Agenda Adjustments

County Attorney Chuck Kitchen introduced to the Commissioners Kim Granthan, Assistant County Attorney, who has joined the Durham County Attorney's office. Ms. Granthan lives in Durham. She will work with taxes and the legislative agenda.

Commissioner Bowser requested an item be added to the agenda. The item will be placed on the agenda after item No. 10.

Vice-Chairman Reckhow requested a brief discussion be added regarding the Annual Report of the Durham Cablevision Advisory Board.

County Manager David F. Thompson requested to distribute a substitute agenda action form for agenda item No. 9, "Public Health Computer and Printer Purchase."

Chairman Black asked Claudia Odom to announce the location of the Community Conversations that will be held in conjunction with the budget process and the charge to link citizens and communities with County government.

"Conversations" will be held each evening at 7:00 p.m. at the following locations:

January 25, 1999

Eastway Elementary	January 26
Little River Elementary	January 27
Glenn Elementary	January 28
Southwest Library	February 1
Main Library	February 2
Parkwood Library	February 3
Morehead Elementary	February 4

Chairman Black commented the Board of County Commissioners held a retreat on January 13, 1999 to discuss the strategic goals for the next two years FY 1999-2000. The strategic goals are:

“SMART GROWTH”

- To implement the “Smart Growth” recommendations of the 20/20 Plan, by increasing housing and jobs by “x”% in the center city and transit corridors and preserving open space areas.

HEALTH/HUMAN SERVICES

- To merge Social Service, Mental Health, and Public Health Agencies (functions and governance) under a Board of Directors to improve the health, welfare, and health care service delivery to our citizens.

CITY-COUNTY MERGER

- To establish a City-County Merger Commission by 2Q, 1999, and to deliver a final report by 2Q, 2000, in collaboration with the City.

TRANSPORTATION

- To enhance revenue sources to address transportation needs throughout Durham County, in collaboration with the City and NC legislators.

EDUCATION

- To help increase the graduation rate for high school students by “x”%, through the funding stream.

TAXES (On-going)

- To decrease pressure on the Property tax rate by increasing the Property tax base and other sources of revenue (by “x”% or “x” amount).
- To decrease the tax rate by “x”% or “x” amount.

Minutes

Commissioner Heron moved, seconded by Commissioner Bowser, to approve the December 14, 1998, Regular Session Minutes of the Board of County Commissioners meeting as submitted.

The motion carried unanimously.

Consent Agenda

Vice-Chairman Reckhow moved, seconded by Commissioner Heron, to approve the following consent agenda items:

- (a) Appointment—Animal Control Advisory Committee (appoint Susan Teer to the committee to represent the Animal Protection Society);
- (b) Appointment—Durham County Emergency Medical Services Council (appoint Kelly N. Bradley to the council to represent the Bahama Volunteer Fire Department);

January 25, 1999

- (c) Pump stations electrical improvements design contract (authorize the County Manager to execute a contract with Coulter Hart Jewell and Thames at a cost not to exceed \$24,760);
- *(d) FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance Amendment No. 99BCC000034—Public Health (approve amendment to recognize the Medicaid maximization cost settlement for FY 1995 and FY 1996); and
- *(e) FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance Amendment No. 99BCC000035—Criminal Justice Resource Center (approve amendment to recognize \$3,750 in additional revenues from the Governor’s Crime Commission).

The motion carried unanimously.

*The documents related to these items follow:

Consent Agenda 4(d). FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance Amendment No. 99BCC000034—Public Health (approve amendment to recognize the Medicaid maximization cost settlement for FY 1995 and FY 1996).

DURHAM COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance
Amendment No. 99BCC000034

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMISSIONERS OF DURHAM COUNTY that the FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance is hereby amended to reflect budget adjustments for the Durham County Public Health Department.

GENERAL FUND

	<u>Current Budget</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Revised Budget</u>
<u>Revenues</u>				
Intergovernmental	\$175,975,862	\$252,404		\$176,228,266
<u>Expenditures</u>				
Human Services	\$209,556,172	\$252,404		\$209,808,576

All ordinances and portions of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

This the 25th day of January, 1999.

(Budget Ordinance Amendment recorded in Ordinance Book _____, page _____.)

Consent Agenda 4(e). FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance Amendment No. 99BCC000035—Criminal Justice Resource Center (approve amendment to recognize \$3,750 in additional revenues from the Governor’s Crime Commission).

DURHAM COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance
Amendment No. 99BCC000035

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMISSIONERS OF DURHAM COUNTY that the FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance is hereby amended to reflect budget adjustments for the Criminal Justice Resource Department.

January 25, 1999

GENERAL FUND

	<u>Current Budget</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Revised Budget</u>
<u>Revenues</u>				
Intergovernmental	\$176,228,266	\$3,750		\$176,232,016
<u>Expenditures</u>				
Public Safety	\$ 28,468,067	\$3,750		\$ 28,471,817

All ordinances and portions of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

This the 25th day of January, 1999.

(Budget Ordinance Amendment recorded in Ordinance Book _____, page _____.)

Setting the Date for the 1999-2000 HUD Consolidated Plan Needs Public Hearing

The City of Durham Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) requests that the Board of County Commissioners set the date for the 1999-2000 Consolidated Plan Needs Public Hearing on January 25, 1999. The adopted Citizen Participation Plan for use with the Consolidated Plan requires that two public hearings be held prior to submission of the Consolidated Plan to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The purpose of the first public hearing is to receive public comments and proposals pertaining to HOME program needs in Durham. The City Council is holding its Needs Public Hearing January 19, 1999.

Federal HOME grant funds (approximately \$850,000) are received annually and are used primarily for affordable housing activities in Durham. Funds are allocated by formula to participating jurisdictions, consortiums, and state and local governments to operate the program. The major goal of the HOME program is to expand the supply of safe, decent, sanitary, and affordable rental- and owner-occupied housing. In May 1993, the Board of County Commissioners and the City Council approved a Durham City-County HOME Consortium Agreement to be used in the administration of the HOME program. The Consortium Agreement was renewed by the BOCC and the City Council in July 1996 (effective October 1, 1997 through September 30, 2000). HOME program activities are included as a part of the Durham Consolidated Plan and submitted annually to HUD for approval.

Kendall Abernathy, Director DHCD, has reviewed this request and recommends that the County's 1999-2000 Consolidated Needs Public Hearing be held on January 25, 1999. Ava Hinton, Federal Programs Administrator, will attend the meeting to answer questions.

Kendall Abernathy said the purpose of the public hearing is to receive public comments on affordable housing needs in the Durham neighborhoods.

Chairman Black opened the public hearing that was properly advertised.

As no one signed to speak at this public hearing, Chairman Black closed the public hearing and referred the item back to the Commissioners.

Vice-Chairman Reckhow and Commissioner Heron requested that Federal Home grant funds be used to repair and remodel the Homeless Shelter.

County Manager David F. Thompson said some 1986 Bond Funds the County has not expended could be used to meet the 25 percent match for the Federal Home funds.

Chairman Black said the second public hearing will be held in May 1999 on this item.

January 25, 1999

Public Hearing--Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment (TC45-98)--Zoning Density Bonus for Affordable Housing

One technique being used in other communities to encourage the development of more affordable housing in a community is providing a density bonus through the zoning ordinance on increasing the maximum number of housing units able to be built on a piece of property, subject to some limitations. This technique is usually not used by itself, but is used in conjunction with other affordable housing incentives. The City and County received special state legislation to allow this type of amendment to the Zoning Ordinance in 1991. Over the past few years, the establishment of guidelines to allow such an incentive in the Zoning Ordinance has been discussed and amended several times.

After a number of rounds of revisions, the Joint City-County Planning Committee endorsed the ordinance amendment at its meeting on October 7, 1998. A public hearing was subsequently held by the Zoning Committee of the Durham Planning Commission on November 10, 1998. The amendment was recommended (by a 6-1 vote). The City Council then held a public hearing on the amendment and adopted it without change on December 21, 1998.

Dick Hails, Planning Manager, Durham City-County Planning Department, presented the proposed amendment.

Chairman Black opened the public hearing that was properly advertised.

As no one signed to speak at this public hearing, Chairman Black closed the public hearing and referred the item back to the Commissioners.

Vice-Chairman Reckhow moved, seconded by Commissioner Heron, to approve Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment (TC45-98)--Zoning Density Bonus for Affordable Housing as submitted.

The motion carried unanimously.

The zoning ordinance text amendment follows:

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE DURHAM ZONING ORDINANCE
REGARDING DENSITY BONUSES FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING**

WHEREAS, the Durham City Council and Board of County Commissioners seek to encourage the creation of affordable housing throughout the community, particularly outside of areas presently impacted by large concentrations of affordable housing; and

WHEREAS, a number of communities around the country have utilized zoning requirements to encourage the inclusion of affordable housing in either all or some new developments in their communities; and

WHEREAS the City and County in 1991 received permission from the General Assembly to offer zoning density bonuses as a way of encouraging developers voluntarily to include some lower-income housing in conventional housing developments; and

WHEREAS, staff has prepared guidelines for a program to encourage the production of more affordable housing in different locations around the community using zoning incentives, either with or without other special incentives:

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED THAT:

Section 1: That Durham Zoning Ordinance, Section 8 have a new section added (Section 8.1.25), entitled "Affordable Housing Density Bonus" to read:

January 25, 1999

"In order to encourage the development of affordable housing throughout the community, a zoning density bonus is available for many types of residential development. Density bonuses can be applied to a proposed residential development, subject to the following requirements:

1. Development of rental units only are eligible.
2. A minimum of 20 new units need to be built to be eligible, either on a new site or as an addition to an existing development.
3. At least 15% of the units shall have payments affordable to persons and families with annual incomes at or below 60% of the area median family income by family size, according to target income limits set by HUD for Durham. A developer could receive a 20% density bonus if providing units for persons with incomes below 50% of the median family income and could receive a 15% density bonus for assisting persons between 50% and 60% of the median family income. These affordability limits shall be adhered to for a minimum of 15 years. The housing developer shall be required to submit an annual report during this time to the Housing and Community Development Director (in the City) or to the County Manager or his designee (in the County) to verify incomes of persons residing in and rents being charged in the reduced cost units are within the established limits. Compliance measures, including but not limited to contracts, restrictive covenants, deed restrictions and stipulated penalties, may be required.
4. All reduced-cost units would be built with similar or compatible physical and design characteristics. These units would also not be physically grouped together or otherwise separated from other units.
5. This program would be eligible in all zones where residences are permitted (with one exception as noted below), including RD, R-20, R-15, R-10, R-8, R-5, R-3, RM8, RM12, RM16, RM20, PDR, MU, O&I-1, O&I-2, NC, SC and CBD.

6. An exception to use of these provisions in residential zones would be where an adopted Development Plan already shows an approved residential development layout of the site and/or specifies a maximum number of units allowed on the site and where adding the bonus units would be a significant change to the layout or exceed the total permitted units on the site.
7. The density bonus would be calculated as follows:
 - a. For multi-family developments, the maximum permitted density on the property may be increased by up to 15% or 20% (see Section 3 above). Setbacks of buildings from exterior property lines would not be reduced.
 - b. For single-family developments, the percentage increase in density and decrease in allowable setbacks for internal lots shall be up to 15% or 20% (see Section 3 above). No reductions in setbacks for exterior lots are permitted.

Section 2: That this ordinance become effective upon adoption.

(Zoning Ordinance amendment recorded in Ordinance Book _____, page _____.)

Public Hearing--Tony Williams (Rezoning Case P98-66)

Tony Williams presented to the Board of County Commissioners a request to rezone .46 acres on Sanders Avenue, one lot beyond the intersection of US 70 and Sanders Avenue (TM 654-1-6A) Request: R-20 (Residential 20) to O&I-2 (General Office and Institutional District). The adopted 2020 Plan Community Growth Map supports Suburban Neighborhoods, a designation which provides land for low density residential

January 25, 1999

neighborhoods, low intensity office and industrial uses, and supporting commercial and community services. The adopted Southeast Durham Future Land Use map indicates office use for the site. Staff recommends approval. The Zoning Committee of the Durham Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on December 8, 1998, and voted 7-0 to recommend approval.

Sheila Stains-Ramp, Senior Planner, Durham City-County Planning Department, was present to answer any questions of staff regarding the request.

Chairman Black opened the public hearing that was properly advertised.

Mr. Tony A. Williams, 2319 Sanders Avenue 27703, talked about his interest in the neighborhood to keep it safe. He spoke briefly about the delivery business he has operated for 15 years in this location.

As no one else asked to speak at the public hearing, Chairman Black closed the hearing and referred the item to the Commissioners for consideration.

Commissioner Heron moved, seconded by Vice-Chairman Reckhow, to approve Rezoning Case P98-66 to rezone .46 acres on Sanders Avenue.

The motion carried unanimously.

(Legal description recorded in Ordinance Book _____, page _____.)

Public Hearing--Somerset One, LLC (Rezoning Case P98-51)

Somerset One LLC presented to the Board of County Commissioners a request to rezone 30.01 acres extending between Herndon Road on the west and Grandale Drive on the east (Tax Map 525-1-22, 22E) Request: RD (Rural District) to PDR-2.15 (Planned Density Residential-2.15 units/acre). The Community Growth Map for the 2020 Comprehensive Plan designates this area for "Suburban Neighborhoods." The Triangle Township Small Area Plan calls for low-density residential development (1-4 du/acre) at this site. Staff recommends approval. The Zoning Committee of the Durham Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on November 10, 1998, and voted 6-1 to recommend approval.

Vonda Frantz, Senior Planner, Durham City-County Planning Department, will be present to answer any questions of staff regarding the request.

Vonda Frantz gave the Commissioners an overview of the rezoning case. The Planning staff recommends approval of the rezoning request.

The Commissioners asked several questions about the proposal to which Vonda Frantz responded to the questions.

Commissioner Bell expressed concern as to if there will be a homeowners association and if the association will maintain the pond. He requested a clear understanding of his concerns.

Mr. Bill Ripley, applicant, 1912 Highway 54, Suite 200, responded to Commissioner Bell's concerns.

County Attorney Chuck Kitchen responded to the remarks Mr. Ripley made relative to Commissioner Bell's concerns.

Commissioner Heron asked about traffic impact concerns in the area.

Mr. Dick Hails responded to the Commissioners' questions.

January 25, 1999

Commissioner Bowser expressed concern about school impact and made comments about the schools.

Commissioner Heron asked about road improvements and if there will be any required road improvements.

Commissioner Bell asked about a lower density for the development.

Chairman Black opened the public hearing that was properly advertised.

Mr. Neil W. Becker, 4517 Grandale Drive, spoke at the public hearing expressed concern about what the over all traffic impact will be with development in this area. He requested a moratorium for five years to let the infrastructure catch up.

Mr. Bill Ripley, applicant, discussed the good points of this development plan and how the density was determined. The traffic impact was also discussed. The concerns the Commissioners raised were responded to by Mr. Ripley.

Mr. Ripley urged the Commissioners to approve the plan.

As no one else asked to speak at the public hearing, Chairman Black closed the hearing and referred the item to the Commissioners for consideration.

Commissioner Bell still had concerns about the pond maintenance and traffic.

Mr. Ripley made additional remarks about the pond and traffic impact.

Vice-Chairman Reckhow commented that some important directives should be given to the Planning staff for the future. We cannot look at individual projects in isolation if it relates to school children or traffic. The staff should provide the City Council and Commissioners the total picture of what is going on. More background information is needed.

Commissioner Heron asked that turn lanes be looked at on Grandale Road.

The pond maintenance will be a note on the development plan that the homeowners association will maintain the pond under restrictive covenants.

Vice-Chairman Reckhow moved, seconded by Commissioner Bowser, to approve the rezoning request and to add the following notes to the development plan. The notes say, "Additional computations will be provided to determine the adequacy of the offsite drainage systems. Offsite drainage improvements will be provided, or if approved by the Director of Public Works, a stormwater detention basin will be provided in the event the offsite drainage systems are found to be inadequate by the Stormwater Services Division." The additional stipulation shall be added that this pond comply with the stormwater regulations specified in terms of a performance bond and maintenance requirements and the additional wording be added in terms of the home owners association being created and that it has the responsibility to maintain the pond and further stipulation that a study be done to determine the need for turning lanes on Grandale.

The motion carried with the following vote:

Ayes: Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow
Noes: Bell
Absent: None

(Legal description recorded in Ordinance Book _____, page _____.)

Public Health—Computer and Printer Purchase to Complete Network

The Health Department requests approval of the purchase of 57 personal computers, 16 network printers, and related software for \$114,528 from Compucom, in accordance with State convenience contract 250-15. The selected hardware and software is in compliance with Durham County's computer equipment standards. The funds for this purchase include \$93,546 from the Medicaid maximization settlement and \$20,982 from budgeted computer funds.

This project includes replacement of 12 AS400 terminals in the Department in preparation for implementation of new network software. The project also replaces 16 PCs considered unacceptable under the Year 2000 Compliance project. Locations for the equipment addressed by this project include the following:

Administration – 4 PCs; 2 Printers	Nursing – 21 PCs; 5 Printers
Vital Records – 4 PCs; 2 Printers	Health Ed – 5 PCs; 1 Printer
Clinic – 15 PCs; 3 Printers	Nutrition – 3 PCs; 1 Printer
Environmental Hlth – 4 PCs; 1 Printer	Dental – 1 PC; 1 Printer

The cost of each PC is \$1,565 including a 4.2 GB hard drive, a 15-inch monitor, Windows 95 software, and an Ethernet network card. The network printers cost \$1,382 each.

Resource persons for this action are Dr. Christopher Burr, Health Department Administrator, and Perry Dixon, Director of the Information Technology Department.

Authorize the County Manager to enter into contract with Compucom to purchase 57 personal computers and 16 network printers for \$114,528.

Brian Letourneau, Public Health Director, presented the Commissioners an overview of the computer and printer purchases.

Commissioner Bowser moved, seconded by Vice-Chairman Reckhow, to authorize the County Manager to enter into contract with Compucom to purchase 57 personal computers and 16 network printers for \$114,528.

The motion carried unanimously.

Consolidation and Reorganization of Resources into the County Attorney's Office

Effective March 15, 1999, the Risk Management Division of the Budget Office will transfer to the County Attorney's Office. Additionally, as the County Attorney will be taking over all legal representation of the Department of Social Services as of July 1, 1999, it is requested that the County Attorney be allowed to hire the additional staff as of March 15, 1999 to allow for a period of transition. During this period, the attorney to work in this area will become familiar with Adult Protective Services and more familiar with child support.

To accomplish this consolidation, the County Attorney's Office will need additional space. David Powell is currently examining various options for meeting this need.

With the proposed staffing of the County Attorney's Office, ample funding will be budgeted for these changes in the ensuing year. The overlap of positions will require a small additional appropriation during the current fiscal year.

County Manager's Recommendation: The County Manager recommends approval of the proposal. The change to take over the functions of the DSS attorney is necessary as the

January 25, 1999

funding for the DSS attorney position will expire on June 30, 1999. Additionally, as the County Attorney has assumed the role of chairman of the safety committee, is involved with the purchase of insurance, and directly involved with claims against the County, it makes sense to house the Risk Management function in the County Attorney's Office.

County Attorney Chuck Kitchen explained the consolidation and reorganization of the County Attorney's office for the Commissioners.

The Commissioners asked questions to which Chuck Kitchen responded to.

Commissioner Heron moved, seconded by Commissioner Bowser, to approve the proposal.

The motion carried unanimously.

The budget ordinance amendment follows:

DURHAM COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA
FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance
Amendment No. 99BCC000036

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMISSIONERS OF DURHAM COUNTY that the FY 1998-99 Budget Ordinance is hereby amended to reflect budget adjustments for the County Attorney Department.

GENERAL FUND

	<u>Current Budget</u>	<u>Increase</u>	<u>Decrease</u>	<u>Revised Budget</u>
<u>Expenditures</u>				
General Government	\$18,159,906	\$56,380		\$18,216,286
Nondepartmental	\$15,438,489		(\$56,380)	\$15,382,109

All ordinance and portions of ordinance in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

This the 25th day of January, 1999.

(Budget Ordinance Amendment recorded in Ordinance Book _____, page _____.)

Carolina Power and Light Company—Nuclear Storage Facility

Commissioner Bowser stated that Carolina Power and Light Company has requested an expansion of its nuclear storage facility at the Shearon Harris Nuclear Plant.

We have adopted a resolution relative to the expansion request. Commissioner Bowser asked the Commissioners if they would be willing to commit funding up to \$5,000 to join with Orange County to hire an expert so we would have quality information in order to make a good sound decision relative to the proposed expansion. I hope that this Board will be willing to set aside \$5,000 to help pay the cost of the expert.

Chairman Black said the Commissioners have requested the Environmental Affairs Board review the proposal.

Chairman Black asked County Manager Thompson to report on the work of the Environmental Affairs Board and how the Environmental Affairs Board and Commissioner Bowser's request could work together.

County Manager Thompson said it is a question of who is the expert, what type of information will the expert provide.

January 25, 1999

County Manager Thompson said in his opinion the Commissioners would want the Environmental Affairs Board to study and review the information about hiring the expert.

Commissioner Bowser said that Orange County is hiring some one who specializes in the storage of low-level nuclear waste. The Environmental Affairs Board does not have anyone on the board that is an expert on the subject of nuclear waste. This is a very important issue we are dealing with and five thousand dollars is a small investment to get information we need.

In response to remarks made by County Manager Thompson about who the expert would report to, Commissioner Bowser recommended that he work with the Orange County Environmental Affairs Board and the Orange County Environmental Affairs Board could provide this Board with the information the consultant developed.

Commissioner Heron is of the opinion the consultant should work through the Durham County Environmental Affairs Board. Commissioner Heron wants to know something about the consultant's credentials, who will employ him, and what the work plan is. I think we are compelled to go through the Environmental Affairs Board because they have done an excellent job for us in the past.

County Manager Thompson suggested that Glen Whistler, County Engineer, talk to Orange County to find the details of the contract and what Orange County Environmental Affairs Board has advised. Mr. Whistler could talk to our Environmental Affairs Board tomorrow night. If the Environmental Affairs Board wants the consultant's information, I would recommend that we have authority to spend up to \$5,000 if the Environmental Affairs Board thinks they need that resource.

Vice-Chairman Reckhow said she could support the Manager's recommendation.

Commissioner Heron moved, seconded by Commissioner Bowser, that County Engineer Glen Whistler will talk to Orange County and the Environmental Affairs Board. The Environmental Affairs Board will make the decision about whether or not Durham County should invest the \$5,000 to obtain the experts advice and if that decision is yes the County Manager will take the \$5,000 from the County's contingency fund and execute the contract and report back to the Board what we are doing.

The motion carried unanimously.

Durham Cablevision TV Advisory Board

Vice-Chairman Reckhow commented that the Commissioners receive the 1998 Annual Report of the TV Advisory Board. She suggested that the Commissioners follow-up on the recommendations in the report. The policies of the Board should be aligned between the city and county governmental units. The cable franchise agreement between the city and county should be aligned in terms of a time frame for expiration. In future agreements the role of the TV Advisory Board should be clarified.

Commissioner Heron suggested the recommendations in the report should come before the City-County Committee for discussion and consideration.

County Manager Thompson requested the Clerk to the Board get copies of the by-laws of the boards and commissions the Commissioners make appointments to.

County Manager Thompson said a letter will be written to Dr. Joseph Haenn for his past service on the Durham Cablevision TV Advisory Board. His term of office expired and he is ineligible for reappointment.

Commissioner Heron asked County Attorney Kitchen to see if the expiration date for the city and county Franchise Agreement could be aligned.

Board and Commission Appointment

Garry E. Umstead, CMC, Clerk to the Board, will distribute ballots to make appointments to the following boards and commissions:

Animal Control Advisory Committee
Area Mental Health Board
Environmental Affairs Board
Public Health Board
Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority

The following votes were cast to make appointments to the listed boards and commissions. Asterisks indicate the appointees.

Animal Control Advisory Committee: Two expired at-large positions (terms expire January 2002).

*Raymond Hardy—Bell, Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow
*Kimberly E. Willis—Bell, Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow

Area Mental Health Board: One at-large position (term expires July 2002).

John E. Kraus, MD, Ph.D.—no votes
Iowana C. Hagler—no votes
Hugh F. Lecky Jr.—no votes
*Robert E. McClernon—Bell, Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow
Joseph Mattiacci—no votes

Environmental Affairs Board: One expired term for the “air resources” position (term expires June 2001).

Gregory J. Dierkers--Bowser
*Brian J. Morton, Ph.D.—Bell, Black, Heron, and Reckhow

Public Health Board: Four expired positions (dentist, nurse, veterinarian, and optometrist) (terms expire January 2002).

*Julia W. Aucoin (nurse)—Bell, Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow
*Philip D. McHugh (veterinarian)—Bell, Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow
*Sidney A. Rose (dentist)—Bell, Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow
Tracy L. Stell (nurse)—Black

Raleigh-Durham Airport Authority: Two expired, two-year terms (terms expire January 2001).

Gerald H. Hutt—no votes
S. Scott MacLeod—no votes
*Lionell Parker—Bell, Black, Bowser, Heron, and Reckhow
Gregory Mark Price—no votes
Isaac H. Robinson—Bowser
Peter J. Sarda—no votes
Robert D. Teer Jr.—no votes
*William Stephens Toler—Bell, Black, Heron, and Reckhow

January 25, 1999

Adjournment

Chairman Black adjourned the meeting at 9:20 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Garry E. Umstead, CMC
Clerk to the Board